

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/783,031	MARTIN, CHRISTOPHER	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	AURANGZEB HASSAN	2182	

All Participants:

(1) AURANGZEB HASSAN.

(2) Arthur Ortega.

Date of Interview: 16 March 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims 22 - 27 in light of BPAI decision

Claims discussed:

22-27 and 29

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 12 PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contact Attorney regarding the BPAI decision which was rendered on 11/17/2010 yet no response had been received regarding the prosecution of the case. Applicant agreed to cancelling of claim 29 in light of Examiner allowing claims 22 - 27 based on the BPAI ruling..